



RELATED TOPICS

GEO POLITICS



Samarkand Summit: Xi Jinping's Speech

GIULIO CHINAPPI21.09.2022

On Friday, Chinese President Xi Jinping delivered a speech at the 22nd meeting of the Council of Heads of State of the Organization for...

POLITICS



Underlying elections

COSTANTINO CEOLDO21.09.2022

On September 25, the general elections will be held in Italy. It's just under a week away and our country will have a new parliament, a new...

GEO POLITICS



The ongoing world war and the submission of...

LUCIANO LAGO20.09.2022

International tensions, conflicts and ongoing changes in the global scenario unmistakably demonstrate the exchange phase of...

PUTIN'S FATEFUL SPEECH IS PREPARED: THREE SCENARIOS



POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY

21.09.2022
Russia

Aleksandr Dugin

We – Russia and the world – are now in a state that can be reduced to the following pattern. We are talking about the situation in Ukraine, which is degenerating at the beginning of a real world war. Like any scheme, it simplifies reality, but at the same time makes it meaningful and elevates it to a certain probabilistic structure. This scheme provides for three objective vectors of possible developments and four versions of the subjective position. Therefore, a certain asymmetry is outlined at the beginning, the meaning of which will be revealed as the scheme is described.

The objective level describes the likely logic of the deployment of cause and effect chains, already outlined on a concrete plan of verifiable facts.

The subjective level includes the perception of events by groups, among which are particularly important those who make the key decisions that, in turn, influence the events themselves in one way or another.

Catastrophic scenario (for Russia). Employment. De-imperialization. Finis Rossiae

Let's start with the worst case scenario. Suppose the AFU and NATO de facto counterattack in Kharkiv and Donbass is not a random mistake of the SMO, but something more sinister. Pessimists and critical observers (as well as participants) describe the consequences and continuation of this process in a thousand ways.

This scenario is described in detail in the official propaganda of the Ukrainians, who already foresee the transfer of hostilities to Crimea, to the regions of Belgorod, Kursk, Rostov and Voronezh and – at the limit – an attack on Moscow. It is also the rosy dream of Western Russophobes and

Russian liberals. This is essentially a scenario for the end of Russia, Finis Rossiae.

It would mean not only the end of the regime, but the end of everything and everyone. And what is important is that the end would not have been soft and compensated (as in 1991), but bloody and violent. If our withdrawal begins (in a way, it will continue), everything will fall – for both external and internal reasons.

This is an objective trend to which a subjective political and ideological platform also corresponds: it is the dream of the official Kiev, the Russian-phobic and globalist leaders and the supporters of Navalny*, Akhedzhakova and Ekho Moskvu, or the fifth column within Russia.

The problems that exist with the technical equipment of the army, with the errors of strategic calculation (which have already manifested themselves in the previous stages of the SMO), with Russia's dependence on foreign technology, to which access has now been cut off, which, as it appears, directly affects our armament, that is, the overall critical dependence on the West in the previous stages – can prove fatal

But if that is the case, Russia as an entity will simply disappear, and everyone – authorities and society – will have to pay the price. No one will be able to escape.

Apocalyptic scenario (for everyone). The end of the story. The destruction of humanity.

The second scenario is a nuclear apocalypse. It is possible that Moscow, which is beginning to lose seriously (first scenario), decides to use nuclear weapons. What you plan to do today is obvious. The thesis 'nuclear powers do not lose wars' is exactly on this issue. The President's words also come to mind about who 'will die and who will go to heaven' or that 'there will be no peace without Russia'.

Likely? Yes, probably. Is anyone in Russia considering this possibility? Without a doubt. So there is an objective chain of events that can lead to this, and there are subjective forces taking such a turn. They are ready for this.

In other words, there are objective prerequisites for such a breakthrough, as well as forces that can make decisions accordingly.

Putin said his enemies will not wait for his voluntary surrender, citing the example of Salvador Allende, who fought with a machine gun to the last man, but the difference is that Allende did not have a nuclear button, he could only sacrifice himself and some enemies.

Things could start right now. If the AFU's bombing of the Zaporizhzhia nuclear power plant reached its goal, this would be equivalent to a targeted nuclear attack on Russian territory – after all, the weapons are Western and Western instructors are engaged in reconnaissance and targeting. The answer would no longer come from Ukraine, but from the real decision-making center, which is much further west.

However, Russia could resort to nuclear weapons in other situations, because for Russia – both for the state and for the people – losing a war would mean total annihilation, and not just a serious but still tolerable defeat, which could be overcome, the nuclear scenario cannot be discarded. The West clearly underestimates this probability, considering it a bluff.

A patriotically victorious scenario (for Russia and for supporters of a multipolar world). The holy war.

The third scenario is the most important. And the only one who saves himself.

A revolution is underway from above in Russia. Putin, who has already broken with the West, turns this total and irreversible break into an ideology, a course, a strategy and the only guideline of existence. All trades are abolished, Russia is openly becoming a popular empire with a marked (anti-capitalist) religious and social ethics. Liberalism and Westernism are banned. Sabotage, theft, laziness and corruption are burned with hardened iron under the laws of war.

The state and the people come together and the SMO turns into a holy folk war. To be or not to be.

Can the situation be objectively like this? Of Course. Many events, processes and objective factors – including a healthy and decisive reaction to previous failures and especially to events in the Kharkiv region – lead exactly in this direction.

Does this scenario have a subject? Without a doubt. First of all, the people themselves, society, the patriotic majority, the people on the front and a significant part of the ruling class; yes, the higher the higher it is, the fewer there are, but the ruling class is not something homogeneous either.

Society is ready for that. Such is also the position taken by almost everyone involved in the war, in one way or another, and it is clear to everyone that there is a need above all for mobilization and

the ideology of victory. We have reached the end of the compromises.

Among the officials – if we all take it together – patriots are probably the majority; among the people – practically everyone, except the flu agents and pathological exceptions (there are sting phenomena everywhere).

If such a patriotic revolution occurs from above, the mobilization will take place on its own and Russia will enter the final battle for the outcome of world history. In fact, the Orthodox elders, Russian philosophers and our heroic ancestors saw the future in this way: the time will come when the Russians will rise up against the evil of the world, against the Antichrist, and will carry out their mission as Masters. In the Soviet era this scenario had a slightly different version, but the same essence: the fight against the West for the salvation of humanity and a just and bright future.

That moment has come.

The main thing in this scenario is a rapid disruption of all dependence on the West: ideological, technological, psychological, economic, cultural. It was this addiction that crippled us at the critical moment. It emerged that the West holds the keys to many vital spheres of our lives – informative, technological, cultural, financial. Yes, we had an important trump card in the field of natural resources, but it was the Western ideology, technology and methodology that we used. Resource hardware is important, but ideological and technological software is even more important.

The popular revolution from above is designed to dismantle the inner West – both in the form of residual liberalism and in all other codes – in the shortest possible time.

It's not easy, but if it's not, see the two previous scenarios.

The status quo as an empty and meaningless illusion

Now there is only one direction that exists as a subjective position, but that is not based on any objective reality – because it simply does not exist.

This is the state of mind of the “status quo” party, or “collective Rublevka.” It is the category of those high-level officials and businessmen who – for reasons that no one knows – continue to believe that the world before February 24, 2022 and the world after February 24, 2022 are essentially the same thing. Nothing – neither the relations from the front, nor the terrorist acts on the internal front, nor the tectonic changes underway in the world order – seems to convince them to the contrary. As before, they fight for their positions, promote their power officers, defeat competitors, make sure to keep what they have, that is, they live as if nothing had happened, adapting reactively to the situation.

They are popularly considered the ‘party of treason’, the ‘pug’, but this is a mistake. They can neither betray nor drain anything. They are neither the authorities, nor the people, and no one will find an agreement with them, neither from the West nor from Kiev. We have already overcome this point.

The SMO has overexacted all existing contradictions. A unipolar world cannot coexist with a multipolar one. Or rather, you can no longer think that the world is ‘unipolar’ and others that it is ‘multipolar’. If Russia (as well as China) is serious about its sovereignty, it must prove it in war, there is no other way and this war must be won. If we win, then it will be multipolar; but, if it were not, Russia would not exist in any capacity. It will not be possible to go back to the 90s or at the time before February 24, 2022, for anyone.

Three scenarios are possible, a quarter is not there. It exists only as a tribute to inertia, that is, it exists in the mind, but not in being.

Of course, many people at the top of the Russian political elite occupy this fourth position. It is said that ‘everything will be solved in some way’ and this arouses the justified anger of patriots; but since there is no such scenario in practice, there is no need to waste any effort. Capitulation was possible in the 90s and so it happened. Compromises – before the SMO there could have been and in fact there were, for example, Moscow’s acceptance of the rules of the global West on the division of labour and integration, the Minsk agreements, etc.

Now it's all done. All that remains is to be or not to be. The “Rulevka Collection” no longer exists. The villas are standing, the guards guard, the expensive cars move in space. City days and concerts are organized. Even Skolkovo is working, while other rascals, supported by crazy oligarchs, are rushing at the head of the Russian Academy of Sciences, but this is a chimera, a mirage. Being or not being abolishes the very possibility of fantasizing more than that much.

If Russia exists, it is already very different from first of all what happened: popular and mobilized, in struggle at all levels – spiritual, ideological, technical, economic, frontal – in battle with an absolute enemy. If it does not exist, then it is already a decapitated and occupied colony by NATO and

the Ukrainian Nazis, or a post-apocalyptic desert (see the first and second scenarios).

There are only three objective scenarios and only those who understand it at the subject level and choose one are taken into account, that is, they truly live and decide for their destiny, for the fate of their country, the people and humanity. They are the only ones who have meaning on the scale of history.

The party of betrayal simply no longer exists, because the time of concessions and compromises has passed, like a ghost pain. Now it's us, it's just us. That's it.

